

Application No.: 09/704,272  
Response dated: May 12, 2006  
Reply to Final Office Action dated: May 1, 2006


#### REMARKS

By an Advisory Action dated May 1, 2006 in the file of the above-identified application, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 6 as being indefinite under 35 USC 112. Applicants respond to the rejection by submitting the amendment set forth herein above to clarify that the inhibitor is a sulfonylurea compound.

Although applicants neither agree nor acquiesce with the reasoning set forth in the Advisory Action, to expedite prosecution on the merits, applicants amend Claim 1 to place this application in condition for allowance. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Should any further issues arise, the Examiner is asked to contact the undersigned directly.

A petition for extension of time accompanies this response so the response will be deemed to have been timely filed. If any other fee is due or any other extension of time is required in this or any subsequent response, please consider this to be a petition for the appropriate extension and a request to charge the petition fee to the Deposit Account No. 17-0055.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Sara D. Vinarov  
Reg. No. 48,524  
Attorney for Applicants  
QUARLES & BRADY LLP  
P.O. Box 2113  
Madison, WI 53701-2113

TEL (608) 251-5000  
FAX (608) 251-9166